

## RCJI (Bremen) 2006: DANCE INTERVIEW EVALUATION

TOTAL	
SCORE	/2 <u>3</u>

Team Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Country: \_\_\_\_\_

Division: Primary or Secondary (circle one)

## tick number of points scored for each criteria NOTE: SEVERAL CHANGES FROM 2005

POINTS	Robot Design & Construction**	TOTALS
0 1 2 3	The appearance and construction of the robot shows	
		/0
	Design & construction was largely students' own	/3
	Commercial robot = 0, commercial kit (eg: Lego) = 1, hand-built = 3	(0
	Gearing, linkages, pivots, (other non-basic features) used in design	/2
	and drive mechanisms (reward design for complexity IF it aids movement)	
	Students successfully addressed problems of robot balance and	/3
	structural soundness in design	
	(eq: how did you stop x from becoming loose during the performance? What have	
	you done to prevent your robot(s) breaking if they fall?)	
	TOTAL	/8
POINTS	Programming and Preparation	
0 1 2 3	Through experience, research and teamwork the team shows:	
	They can explain, describe and understand their program thoroughly	/3
	(eq: what does this section of program tell the robot to do? If I changed this part to	
	become x, what effect would that have on the robot?)	
	Complex, innovative or original programming used or programming	/3
	level appropriate to age and expertise level <sup>1</sup>	
	(eq: use of jumps/lands, loops, nested sections, creation of own icons or	
	sequences, etc)	
	They are able to explain connections between the program and	/1

	music selected (eg: how do you get your robot to synchronise to the music chosen?)		
	They were able to work as a team <sup>2</sup>		/2
	(eg: how did you share the tasks? How did you make decisions?)		
	TOT	AL	/9
POINTS	Sensors & Technology**		TOTALS
0 1 2 3	Robot shows a		
	Use of sensors.		/3

Use of sensors: (eg: programming to respond to sensors, use of sensors to trigger next part of performance, evidence of programming to keep the robot within the stage boundaries, effectiveness of sensors used, etc)	/3
Use of other technologies: (eg: use of unusual technologies such as infra-red, sonar, GPS, in-built timer to monitor duration of performance, etc)	/3
TOTAL	/6

\*\*aspects of this section also assessed in performance

<sup>1</sup>Servo motors do not use programming structure comparable to rotary motors – judges should make allowance for this when scoring robots using such programs. <sup>2</sup>if only one member in this team, delete this criteria and mark the section out of 7: indicate this on the sheet!

Keep this team in mind for an award for